

CKFT

Lanny Silverstone

Our ref: RLS/sp/LAD008/6  
Your Ref:

Direct tel: 020 7317 8716  
Direct fax: 020 7317 8750  
e-mail: lsilverstone@ckft.com

PRACTICE  
- 2 DEC 2007  
PROTECTION

28<sup>th</sup> November 2002

Dear Sir

Andrew Ladsky

We have been consulted by Andrew Ladsky in connection with two faxes which were sent on KPMG LLP's headed notepaper by Ms Noelle Rawe on 23<sup>rd</sup>/24<sup>th</sup> October 2002.

We have been provided with a copy of your letter to Mr Ladsky dated 6<sup>th</sup> November 2002.

We note that you have confirmed that your employee was not authorised to send the faxes on your firm's letterhead.

We further note that in your letter of 6<sup>th</sup> November you indicated that Ms Rawe was immediately told to send a letter to any actual or potential recipient of her correspondence confirming that the correspondence was sent in her personal capacity and not in her capacity as an employer of KPMG.

Ms Rawe was not, apparently, instructed to inform the recipients of the letter that her comments were highly defamatory and that they should be treated as having been withdrawn.

=Malicious, scurrilous accusations against me - see below

Therefore, while Ms Rawe was instructed to send a letter that would disassociate your firm from the comments that were made about Steel Services and Mr Ladsky

I WAS PROVEN RIGHT about a plan to defraud leaseholders - see, in addition to CKFT

Leasehold Valuation Tribunal # 4 ; Major works ; Block sale of flats ; West London County Court ; Pridie Brewster # 18...

...which includes the 29 August 2006 reply from the ICAEW and my analysis of what leaseholders ended-up paying v. the 17 June 2003 determination by the LVT ; main points under Brian Gale, including his 'double act' with Mr Ladsky during the hearings

My 19 October 2003 Witness Statement and my 3 June 2008 Witness Statement

CKFT

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

We enclose a copy of our letter to Ms Rawe of today which is self explanatory.

In the absence of a proper offer of amends and offer of compensation from Ms Rawe and/or from KPMG we have instructions to commence proceedings both against your employee and against your firm.

We look forward to receiving your substantive response within five working days of the date of this letter.

Yours faithfully



CKFT

Needless to say that I did not comply - and that nothing came of it...other than more harassment, bullying, intimidation, blackmail, extortion tactics, etc.

See what Mr Ladsky, CKFT and his other thugs did - under "his instructions" (Portner # 5.1) - in: 'My Diary - 15 May 2008' ; also under:

- Protection from Harassment Act 1997
- Malicious Communications Act 1988
- Theft Act 1968 / Theft (Amendment) Act 1996
- Fraud Act 2006
- Property Misdescriptions Act 1991
- Court and Legal Services Act 1990
- Criminal Justice Act & Public Order Act 1994
- Defamation Act and 1996
- etc.