


for your information



KPMG took Ladsky's scurrilous accusations against me on board with open arms: see KPMG ; brief document covering some events

ANDREW LADSKY  
9 JEFFERSON HOUSE  
11 BASIL STREET  
LONDON SW31AX

KPMG

QUARE

26<sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2007

Dear

RE- KPMG-LIBEL AND RACISM

I WAS PROVEN RIGHT about a plan to defraud leaseholders - see Leasehold Valuation Tribunal # 4 ; Major works ; Block sale of flats ; CKFT ; West London County Court

Pridie Brewster # 18...which includes the 29 August 2006 reply from the ICAEW and my analysis of what leaseholders ended-up paying v. the 17 June 2003 determination by the LVT ; main points under Brian Gale, which also includes his 'double act' with Mr Ladsky during the hearings

My 19 October 2003 Witness Statement, and my 3 June 2008 Witness Statement

In October 2002 your firm wrote to the leasehold valuation tribunal and The London Borough of Kensington and Chelsea alleging that i was proposing to steal £750,000. The letter making this allegation was on your headed notepaper and signed by your employee Noelle Rawe.

You claimed at the time that this letter was written entirely contrary to the terms of your employees contract and that she had no right to use your corporate paper to further her personal disputes and that your firm were intending to take the appropriate disciplinary action.

[Redacted] your employee clearly used your company's good name to add weight to her defence to a private action brought against her to recover properly due service charges.

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

You once again claim that this has been done without your consent by Noelle Rawe and that there will be no further repetition or use of KPMG internet services.

Your assurances cannot be relied upon as your employee is either acting with your

- (1) His accusation that I used the services to update my website is FALSE (as I had my personal computer at work + my personal 3G card to connect it to the Internet)
- (2) To my knowledge, Mr Ladsky is external to KPMG. Hence, how would he know what I was doing at work?

So "properly due" that:

(1) having filed a claim against me in 2002 for £14,400 (Particulars of claim), in 2003 he made me an offer for £6,350 - endorsed by the court on 1 July 2004 (CKFT # 3 ; WLCC # 13 and # 14)

(2) having filed another claim against me in February 2007, following receipt of my 3 June 2008 Witness Statement, on 6 June 2008 he dropped "ALL" of the claim against me - giving the preposterous, laughable excuse that Martin Russell Jones "had given the wrong identity and address for the landlord"

Reality: The claim was FRAUDULENT (Portner and Jaskel from point # 29)

Pridie Brewster  
and Institute of  
Chartered  
Accountants in  
England &  
Wales # 22

consent or treats any warnings she has been given by your firm with complete and utter contempt evidenced i suggest by her view of your professional body who she considers to be "run by little dictators fertilising malpractice in your industry" (see web site on accountants). Whatever the case you continue to liabile me in the most offensive manner and seem either unwilling or unable to stop it.

see 'Advisors to JH' , My Diary 15 May 2008, and note A on next page



The allegations she makes that she has been followed 24/7 for several years over a £5000 bill undoubtedly display clinical paranoia and the accompanying descriptions and racist overtones along with the other views of myself and various professional advisors, the government and their respective regulating bodies etc make this a "HATE" website of the most vicious nature created by your employee and administered through you company internet service.

see My Diary -  
Home page

See My Diary -  
6 May 2008 &  
15 May 2008

FALSE

The matter has been reported to the police who confirm they are dealing with a racist incident and are in contact with the ISP hosting the offending site.


LIE. Kensington &  
Chelsea police  
backed down 6 days  
BEFORE Mr  
Ladsky's letter. See  
email of 20 March  
2007 ;  
My Diary 20 March  
2007




Furthermore, you say in your letter that this is Ms Rawe's personal view and that you do not condone racism. However, it seems, you are happy and willing to employ racists so long as they cause such offence outside office hours and one wonders where your company draws the line. Perhaps you could clarify this for me and for the Commission for Racial Equality who i am involving in this matter as frankly i find it breathhtaking that you are allowing your employee to behave in this way which must be contrary to any good faith employment provisions under which she must be expected to behave with a degree of propriety and be restricted from using your name and notepaper for her personal vendettas.

Racists are Mr  
Ladsky and K&C  
police - evidenced  
by 16 March 2007  
and 20 March 2007  
emails (I have  
German roots)

Following his example? See My Diary 15 May 2008, 22 Nov 08, 30 Jan 09 for Ladsky and his aides' mastery of "propriety", and next page Note A and Note B



Yours Sincerely

  
Andrew Ladsky

This letter and other communications to my employer + making threatening phone calls to my current website Host + his other actions through Portner and Jaskel and Kensington & Chelsea police

= A MAN GONE BERSERK FROM BEING EXPOSED FOR WHAT HE IS: A CROOK

A - See what Ladsky and his bunch of thugs - "under his instructions" (Portner # 5.1) did in: 'My Diary - 15 May 2008' ; my 3 Jun 08 Witness Statement; my 19 Jan 09 reply to the points of dispute; his payment to me of £2,640; also under:

- Protection from Harassment Act 1997
  - Malicious Communications Act 1988
  - Theft Act 1968 / Theft (Amendment) Act 1996
  - Fraud Act 2006
  - Property Misdescriptions Act 1991
  - Court and Legal Services Act 1990
  - Criminal Justice Act & Public Order Act 1994
  - Defamation Act and 1996
- etc.

B - I WAS PROVEN RIGHT about a plan to defraud leaseholders - see Leasehold Valuation Tribunal # 4

Major works ; Block sale of flats ; West London County Court ; Pridie Brewster # 18...

...which includes the 29 August 2006 reply from the ICAEW and my analysis of what leaseholders ended-up paying

v. the 17 June 2003 determination by the LVT ; main points under Brian Gale, including his 'double act' with Mr Ladsky during the hearings

My 19 October 2003 Witness Statement, my 3 June 2008 Witness Statement, my 19 Jan 09 reply to the points of dispute