

The Rt. Hon. Sir Malcolm Rifkind, M.P.
House of Commons
London SW1A 0AA

Ms Noëlle Rawé
[]
[]
[]

1 (By 'Special Delivery')

2 7 November 2009

See also what has been happening with Kensington & Chelsea police since my 28 May 09 Subject Access Request

3 Dear Sir

4 SINCE WHEN HAS ANDREW LADSKY BEEN 'RULER OF KENSINGTON & CHELSEA'?

Should read '19'

5 I acknowledge receipt of your letter of 23 October 2009 following mine of 15 October 2009 – in which
6 your only reply is "Your case is now with the Ombudsman and we will have to await her decision".

7 Considering what I wrote in my letter (let alone in my previous correspondence), I believe that any fair
8 minded, reasonable person with integrity looking at the evidence would understand why it leads me to
9 the conclusion that, like the local police ¹, council and court, you appear to also answer to Andrew
10 Ladsky. I wonder why.

11 When was he elected 'Ruler of Kensington & Chelsea'? By whom? When and how was he - and
12 concurrently his aides that he "instructed" and continues to "instruct" - given the right to operate above
13 the laws of the land e.g. Protection from Harassment Act 1997; Fraud Act 2006; Theft Act 1968 / Theft
14 Amendment Act 1996; Malicious Communications Act 1988; Money Laundering Regulations / Proceeds
15 of Crime Act 2002; Courts and Legal Services Act; Criminal Justice Act & Public Order Act 1994, etc...

16 ...as well as the Civil Procedure Rules e.g. repeated contempt of court and tribunal, incl. false
17 statements of truth, false claims in expert witness reports, etc. – and hence, given the right to –
18 repeatedly - treat Her Majesty's Court Service with absolute, utter contempt?

1 ; # 2

19 As a member of Her Majesty's Parliament, and a 'Sir', how do you explain that, in your constituency of
20 Kensington & Chelsea – under your watch - Andrew Ladsky and his aides are allowed to use Her
21 Majesty's public sector departments for their fraudulent aims – and thereby treat these departments
22 with absolute, utter contempt? Is Ladsky more important than Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the
23 Second? Should I therefore bin my Affirmation of Allegiance to Her Majesty?

Forgot to say: "and a Queen's Counsel"

Brian Gale:
13 Dec 02
and
24 Feb 03
to LVT

24 In the conclusions to my first letter to you of 7 March 2009 I asked "What gives Mr Andrew Ladsky and
25 his aides the right to control the authorities by getting them to deny me my rights - thereby helping them
26 with their fraudulent activities? As a British taxpayer (who has so far paid c. £500,000 in tax in this
27 country) - and victim of their criminal actions – I have the right to know. To be the victim of crime is one
28 thing. (There are criminals everywhere). But to be victimized and persecuted by State departments with
29 the mandate to ensure my rights for justice, redress and protection (which, as a taxpayer, I am entitled
30 to expect), and see these departments side against me with the perpetrators - is absolutely outrageous"

31 For 35 years I happily paid tax that was spent, among other, on the remuneration package and other
32 expenses of individuals in the courts, the police, council, Parliament (hence, including yourself), etc., in
33 the understandable belief that they would be there for me if I ever needed to call on them to act as per

¹ Aside from the numerous other 'black on white' evidence, one month on, and: **(1)** Chief Superintendent Mark Heath, Kensington & Chelsea police has not replied to my 8 October 2009 letter; **(2)** nor has Steve McSorley, Acting Chief Inspector, Professional Standards & Performance, replied to my letter, also of 8 October 2009. By contrast, Steve McSorley had 'replied' to my 20 September 2009 letter to Mark Heath (and, evidently, my 20 September 2009 reply to the Police Public Access Office's 'response' of 25 August 2009) by return of post, on 22 September 2009.

1 their stated mandate. What happened after 35 years of doing this, when I knocked on their door and
 2 said *"I need your help now"*? Not only have I – and continue – to receive a consistent 'Get lost!', I find
 3 that they are colluding with my attackers against me.

4 If only I could have received a tenth of the support and devotion Andrew Ladsky and his aides have –
 5 and continue - to receive from 'the system'.

6 Evidently, in spite of the endless number of lifelines I have thrown in an attempt to resolve my situation
 7 – instead of meeting my objectives - the course is set on fighting me until I have somehow been
 8 annihilated – in the process continuing to waste a vast amount of taxpayer money. (How much taxpayer
 9 money has so far been wasted to scheme me against me (incl. with non-public sector parties), planning
 10 and implementing the highly vicious, perverse vendetta against me, developing and coordinating the
 11 replies to my correspondence, monitoring me, etc.? It must be an astronomical amount).

12 While Ladsky and his aides clearly have carte blanche to do exactly as they please, I realise that the
 13 horrendous, barbaric treatment I have and continue to be subjected to is evidently the modus operandi
 14 under a regime of fear, smear and persecution when – anybody - 'dares' to challenge 'the system' / its
 15 participants, expose its/their failings and wrongdoings, e.g.

16 ■ On 27 Sep 09, the Mail on Sunday reported that, five months on, a *"£5,000-a-day hunt"* was still
 17 going on to identify the person who leaked details of MPs expenses to The Daily Telegraph, and
 18 *"the final cost of the inquiry is expected to run to several hundred thousands of pounds"* (*"I will end
 19 hunt for expenses mole, vows Speaker Bercow"* – [http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-
 20 1216598/I-end-hunt-expenses-mole-vows-Speaker-Bercow.html](http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1216598/I-end-hunt-expenses-mole-vows-Speaker-Bercow.html))

21 ■ The journalist who was hounded by the police for 'daring' to expose events relating to the police
 22 which, apparently, were already in the public domain; ended-up being prosecuted - and, mercifully,
 23 faced a decent judge who threw the case out. The journalist was reported in the media as saying
 24 that a total of c. £1 million of taxpayer money was spent pursuing her.

My Diary
w/c 4 Feb 08

25 ■ The Canadian Lady who was also hounded by the police and locked-up in a police cell for revealing
 26 detail of what actually took place in relation to the shooting of Mr Jean Charles de Menezes.
 27 According to the media, over £100,000 of taxpayer money was spent pursuing this whistleblower.

28 ■ The smear campaign *"by senior Labour figures"* of the then outgoing Head of the Armed Forces, Sir
 29 Richard Dannatt, who criticised the Government for the shortage of equipment to the army (*"Secret
 30 report reveals Labour is failing troops"*, The Sunday Times, 23 Aug 09 -
 31 <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/politics/article6806498.ece>; *"Anatomy of a smear: how
 32 General Dannatt claimed £19,291... and Bob Ainsworth claimed £394,306"*, The Mail on Sunday, 23
 33 Aug 09 - [http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1208550/How-General-Dannatt-makes-Lidl-long-
 34 way-Army-chief-claims-just-19-291-compared-Bob-Ainsworths-394-306.html](http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1208550/How-General-Dannatt-makes-Lidl-long-way-Army-chief-claims-just-19-291-compared-Bob-Ainsworths-394-306.html))

35 ■ The defence secretary, *"Bob Ainsworth is accused of smearing an officer in order to hide failures
 36 over equipment supplies"*, (*"Minister in 'cover-up over soldier's death"*, The Sunday Times, 30 Aug
 37 09 - <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/world/Afghanistan/article6815061.ece>)

38 ■ Jacqui Smith, ex. Home Office Secretary, reported to have attempted to smear the neighbours who
 39 challenged her claim that her sister's London home was her main residence (*"Quit now Jacqui, say
 40 two-thirds of her own voters... and neighbours she tried to smear tell of relief after their complaint
 41 upheld"*, The Mail on Sunday, 18 Oct 09 - [http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1221161/Quit-
 42 Jacqui-say-thirds-voters--neighbours-tried-smear-tell-relief-complaint-upheld.html](http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1221161/Quit-Jacqui-say-thirds-voters--neighbours-tried-smear-tell-relief-complaint-upheld.html)) (NB: On BBC1
 43 Question Time, 29 Oct 09, Ms Smith said she had been able to substantiate her claim to the
 44 Parliamentary authorities that she had spent more time in London than in her constituency *"during
 45 the period in question"*)

46 The Daily Mail columnist, Richard Littlejohn, also quoted a number of other examples in his 10 July
 47 2009 article *"What kind of country sacks a dinner lady for telling the truth?"*

- 1 (<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/debate/article-1198699/LITTLEJOHN-What-kind-country-sacks-dinner-lady-telling-truth.html>) e.g. (in addition to the dinner lady):
- 2
- 3 ■ *"The civil servant in Hazel Blears' department (then Communities Secretary i.e. Local Government)*
4 *who "was sacked for anonymously criticising Blears on the internet over her abuse of the expenses*
5 *system and refusal to apologise... [her] comments sparked a full-scale inquiry... [and] were traced*
6 *back to her work computer" (I wonder how much taxpayer money was spent doing that).*
 - 7 ■ *"The Paddington train crash survivors who asked awkward questions about rail safety and elderly*
8 *patients who complained about the NHS have been subjected to vile, orchestrated smear*
9 *campaigns and character assassinations"*
 - 10 ■ The BBC reporter and Director General of the BBC who *"lost their job for exposing the dodgy*
11 *dossier used to take us to war in Iraq"*
 - 12 ■ The *"Respected UN inspector Dr David Kelly"* who *"was hounded to death for questioning the*
13 *veracity of the (bogus) claims that Saddam possessed weapons of mass destruction"* (NB: In July
14 2009, there were, yet again, calls for a public inquiry).
 - 15 ■ The Home Office whistleblower and Damian Green, Shadow Immigration Minister, *"arrested for*
16 *exposing Labour's systematic lies over illegal immigration"*. The media reported that c. £100,000 of
17 taxpayer money was spent on the Damian Green affair.
 - 18 ■ The smear campaign by 10 Downing Street's Damien McBride against some Tory MPs.
- 19 Mr Littlejohn concludes his 10 July 09 article with *"Labour has created a self-serving tyranny of lying*
20 *and concealment, where duplicity is rewarded..."* I agree with him. And in light of my experience in the
21 Conservative-run constituency of Kensington & Chelsea: I also include the Conservatives.
- 22 Concurrently, steps are taken to prevent the release of 'inconvenient / embarrassing' information in the
23 public domain, as well as to cover-up events e.g.
- 24 ■ What happened in my case when, in his 16 March and 20 March 2007 emails, TDC Simon J
25 Dowling, Community Safety Unit, Kensington & Chelsea police, made false accusations against me
26 to my website Host, including falsely implying that I had 'committed a crime' – all with the aim of
27 forcing my Host to close down my website, <http://www.leasehold-outrage.com> .
 - 28 ■ Employment of lawyers by Leicestershire police to attempt to get a ban on reporting the case of
29 Fiona Pilkington who, last month, committed suicide with her daughter (*"As police begin armed*
30 *patrols, a campaigner deplores the Government's disturbing plan to make inquests secret"*, The
31 Mail on Sunday, 25 Oct 09 – <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/debate/article-1222760/HELEN-SHAW-If-somebody-dies-MUST-know--Its-vital-inquests-stay-public.html>)
32
 - 33 ■ In my 24 March 2009 letter to you I referred to *"hundreds of people reported to have died allegedly*
34 *as a result of negligence at the Stafford hospital"*. In its news item, *"Stafford hospital inquiry opens"*
35 ([http://www.channel4.com/news/articles/science_technology/stafford+hospital+inquiry+opens/3408](http://www.channel4.com/news/articles/science_technology/stafford+hospital+inquiry+opens/3408042)
36 [042](http://www.channel4.com/news/articles/science_technology/stafford+hospital+inquiry+opens/3408042)) of 2 Nov 09, Channel 4 reported that *"a government-ordered inquiry into the scandal at*
37 *Stafford hospital, where up to 1,200 people may have died unnecessarily, has opened in secret"*. It
38 states that *"the relatives of those who died between 2005 and 2008 are furious that the evidence is*
39 *being heard behind closed doors"* and that they *"are going to the high court in pursuit of a public*
40 *inquiry...Many people in Stafford feel what has happened at their hospital is still shrouded in*
41 *secrecy"* Following a visit to Parliament to discuss their case, one interviewee said *"There is no*
42 *democracy here"*.
 - 43 ■ As reported in The Guardian article of 5 Nov 09, *"Investigation of G20 death 'cover-up' would be*
44 *inappropriate, Ian Tomlinson's family told"* ([http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2009/nov/05/ian-](http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2009/nov/05/ian-tomlinson-police-watchdog)
45 [tomlinson-police-watchdog](http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2009/nov/05/ian-tomlinson-police-watchdog)) - The family of Ian Tomlinson, the innocent man who died at the G20
46 protest after being attacked by the police who, in reply to their protest that their loved one's death
47 was not mentioned in any of the inquiries – viewing it as a cover-up - were *"told by Victoria Borwick,*

1 *the Conservative (!!!) chair of the panel, that she believed it would be “inappropriate” for the*
 2 *Metropolitan Police Authority... to take up their concerns. “There is nothing any of us can say to*
 3 *reverse the situation of what happened last April,” she added. “We are extremely sorry” The article*
 4 *also states, among other “Within 24 hours of Tomlinson’s death, police became aware that their*
 5 *officers may have been involved in a physical altercation with him. However, in public, police*
 6 *refused to confirm there had been contact and resisted calls for an independent investigation until*
 7 *five days later, when the Guardian revealed video footage of the incident”*

8 So, like me, all these people are finding that their justified complaint/challenge leads to the public sector
 9 drawbridges being immediately pulled-up and ‘the mutual protection society’ automatically kicking-in.

10 Against all of the above examples, it is fascinating to note the **Civil Service claims** in e.g. its
 11 recruitment ad of 4 Oct 09, in The Sunday Times for, among others, the Ministry of (In)Justice **“We**
 12 **value objectivity, honesty, integrity and impartiality”** If so many lives, including mine, were not
 13 being destroyed, and if so many people, like me, were not suffering terrible injustice – precisely
 14 because of the lack of all these qualities in the public sector - it would be laughable.

15 In my letter to you of 24 March 2009, on page 29, I asked *“Considering the vicious, barbaric treatment I*
 16 *have and continue to be subjected to for ‘daring’ to expose, among others, government departments as*
 17 *“aiding and abetting a c. £500,000 fraud by turning a blind eye and a deaf ear to the evidence” - what is*
 18 *going to happen to the journalists and decision makers in their media organisations for exposing*
 19 *(various events which I listed e.g. “The Sunday Times’ video tapes and recordings of peers quoting their*
 20 *price for ensuring amendments to legislation”, abuse of expenses by MPs, etc.).*

21 I followed this by stating, on page 30, *“I don’t know about you, but I consider many of the above as*
 22 *being a lot more damning than stating “aiding and abetting a c. £500,000 fraud by turning a blind eye*
 23 *and a deaf ear to the evidence” - which merely adds to the overwhelming evidence of sleaze in the*
 24 *Westminster and Whitehall village...What is the ‘ruling elite’ going to do to the journalists for ‘daring’ to*
 25 *expose these events? Subject them, by any means, to ongoing persecution like me?”*

My Diary
 Year 2009

26 As, since then, on 15 June 2009, a cyclist, in Hyde Park, ‘delivered’ to me the message *“Enjoy your life.*
 27 *You don’t have long to live”, I am now asking: when should we expect to see the killing fields – for those*
 28 *who ‘dared’ to expose public sector failings and wrongdoings; those who ‘dared’ to challenge crooked*
 29 *landlords, their aides and the supporting infrastructure to the residential leasehold sector, etc.?*

30 In spite of having 20/20 vision of the regime, I repeat what I wrote you previously: I will NOT walk away,
 31 because, having lost everything, it leaves me with only one course of action: to continue to accumulate
 32 evidence of what can happen to an honest, decent, law-abiding individual who naïvely believed what
 33 she was told by the State: that she had rights, she had the right to demand, and that there was a
 34 system in place to ensure her rights would be upheld – leading her to challenge the fraudulent service
 35 charge demands of a crooked landlord and his equally crooked aides.

36 As my MP, will you be ‘The One’ who – finally - demonstrates intelligence and common sense and say
 37 ‘Enough is Enough!’ and help me achieve my objectives – thereby seizing on the opportunity you still
 38 have to take the credit for resolving my situation? (Significant correspondence from you, and from me to
 39 you et.al. since July, has yet to be placed on my website). (I would like to leave the country permanently
 40 – alive and well – having achieved my objectives - by the end of this year).

41 Yours sincerely,

42 Noëlle Rawé
 43 <http://www.leasehold-outrage.com>

44 PS. Although my previous correspondence to your Party Leader, David Cameron, has not been
 45 acknowledged, for the record, I am also copying him on this letter.



Post Office Ltd.
Your Receipt

South Kensington Station
41 Old Brompton Road

Common

London
Greater London
SW7 3JG

Date and Time: 07/11/2009 10:44
Session Prefix: 5-2999987
Dest: UK (E.U.)
Quantity: 1
Weight: 0.030 kg

1st Class Letter £0.39
Receipt £0.00
Recorded £0.75

Total Cost of Services **£1.14**

Posted after Last Collection? No

Barcode: BR8521594546B

DESTINATION ADDRESS
Building Name or Number Postcode
HOUSE OF COMMONS HOUSES D SW70AA
Address Validated? N

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU RETAIN THIS RECEIPT AS IT IS YOUR PROOF OF POSTING

PLEASE REFER TO SEPARATE TERMS AND CONDITIONS

We do not pay compensation for money, jewellery or valuables sent by Recorded Signed For. Check delivery at www.postoffice.co.uk or call 08459 272100, quoting your reference number.

This is not a VAT receipt
Thank You



Post Office Ltd.
Your Receipt

South Kensington Station
41 Old Brompton Road

Refund

London
Greater London
SW7 3JG

Date and Time: 07/11/2009 10:43
Session Prefix: 5-2999977
Dest: UK (E.U.)
Quantity: 1
Weight: 0.030 kg

Special D by 1 **£4.95**

Total Cost of Services **£4.95**

Posted after Last Collection? No

Barcode: ZW4559103956B

DESTINATION ADDRESS
Building Name or Number Postcode
House of Commons SW1A 0AA
Address Validated? Y

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU RETAIN THIS RECEIPT AS IT IS YOUR PROOF OF POSTING

PLEASE REFER TO SEPARATE TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Special Delivery is an express next day service for the UK, offering a money back guarantee for delay and compensation for loss and damage to your item. Check delivery at www.postoffice.co.uk or call 08459 272100 quoting your ref number.

This is not a VAT receipt
Thank You



Post Office Ltd.
Your Receipt
South Kensington Station
41 Old Brompton Road
London
Greater London
SW7 3JG

VAT REG No. 243 1700 02
07/11/2009 10:45
SESSION : 5-2999977-2

Post Label SD	4.95
1 @	4.95
Post Label 1L	1.14
1 @	1.14
TOTAL DUE TO POST OFFICE	6.09
Cash	6.09
BALANCE	0.00

FROM CUSTOMER

Thank You

[Personal Customers](#) [Small & Medium Business](#) [Corporate & Public Sector](#)



Search

- [Delivery Services](#)
- [Marketing Services](#)
- [Logistics Services](#)
- [Discounts & Payment](#)



Home

Home > Track and trace >

Track and trace

[Sending tracked mail](#)

[Tracking your mail](#)

Top links


- [Find a postcode](#)
- [Postal prices](#)
- [Shop](#)
- [Track an item](#)
- [A-Z](#)
- [Work for us](#)
- [Customer service](#)

Please enter your [13 character reference](#)
e.g. AA000100019GB

ZW455910395GB

[Track item](#)

[How to find your reference number](#)



Your item has reached the delivery office and is out for delivery.

We can confirm that your item left the delivery office this morning and should arrive shortly if it hasn't been delivered already.

SENDING
important or valuable mail

- [Send mail in the UK](#)
- [Send mail overseas](#)
- [Sending tracked mail](#)

TRACKING
important or valuable mail

- [I am trying to track an item sent within the UK](#)
- [When will my item arrive?](#)
- [My item should have been delivered by now.](#)
- [How can I get my item there quicker next time?](#)

ADDITIONAL SERVICES
for sending mail

- [Price a delivery](#)
- [Buy pre-paid packs](#)
- [Get mail collected from your business](#)
- [Set up a business account](#)
- [Order labels for Business](#)
- [Print labels for Business](#)
- [Find a postcode](#)

ADDITIONAL SERVICES
for tracking mail

- [Learn more about electronic Proof of Delivery](#)
- [Find out which services can be tracked](#)

Lifeline for deadlines

Get on time that has to arrive on time?
guaranteed

specialdelivery*

[Discover Special Delivery 9.00AM >](#)

About Us

- [Latest News](#)
- [Jobs](#)
- [Terms & Conditions](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)

Our Website

- [Shop](#)
- [Site map](#)
- [Product A-Z](#)
- [Cymraeg](#)

Help

- [Customer Service](#)
- [Accessibility](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

Royal Mail Group

- [About Royal Mail](#)
- [Post Office](#)
- [Parcelforce Worldwide](#)