

Dr Anthony G []
[]
London
[]

**ADDRESS FOR
CORRESPONDENCE**

Ms Noëlle Rawé
[]
[]

10 April 2008

Dear Tony

Thank you for your time today.

As, when I told you that in April 2007 you had prescribed me medication, you said that it was not recorded on my file, and you did not ask me what it was, for the purpose of completeness of my file, please see attached one page containing:

- A copy of your prescription dated 16 April 2007
- A copy of the packaging for the 28 Citalopram tablets 20mg, purchased on 16 April 2007
- A copy of the packaging for the 40 Lorazepam tablets 1mg, also purchased on 16 April 2007.

As you can see: I *do* keep a record of events! (One of the lessons learnt from my horrendous, nightmare experience in this country since 2002).

You prescribed them because I was going through a very traumatic time with my then employer, KPMG (see detail in Note 1 below).

Today I told you that I opted to not take the anti-depressant. The main reason is that I do not want to numb myself to reality. Up to 2002 I believed what I had been told: that there was a system in place to ensure the implementation of legislation and regulations; that the regulatory bodies were there to ensure that the professions complied with the legislation, regulations and codes of conduct.

Since then, my very extensive first-hand experience in the context of my problem with my rogue landlord, Mr Andrew David Ladsky (landlord/person fronting the ownership of Jefferson House, Basil St, where I own a leasehold flat) and his equally rogue aides (lawyers, accountants, surveyors) - added to my experience with KPMG - has led me to the conclusion that *all of it* is just window dressing, lies. 'Thanks' to my horrendous experience, I have 'seen the light'; the blindfold cannot be put back on my eyes.

Yes, I know what you said today about (one of the world's most dangerous) terror suspects being allowed to remain in the UK - out of concern for his Human Right for a fair trial. Seeing that 'makes me hurt' even more as it leads me to ask: why is it that 'I', the law-abiding, victim of crime, get treated as though I am the criminal – included by KPMG? Why is it that, since 2002, I have had all the doors repeatedly slammed in my face in spite of the overwhelming 'black on white' evidence I have against Mr Ladsky and his aides. Why is it that – unlike me – they are all, quite clearly, considered to be above the law in this country? Why is it that I am evidently viewed by all as having no rights, including Human Rights?

Regarding Lorazepam, it proved very helpful in April 2007, and subsequently. However, not keen on ingesting chemicals, in an attempt to deal with the 'gigantic' emotional rollercoaster from going through sheer, utter hell, I aim to listen to a tape by [] (hypnotherapist, psychotherapist), and to meditate. People tell me that they wonder how I have managed to survive this hell since 2002. I do too.

Noëlle Rawé

NOTE on events at KPMG in April 2007

(The below events are captured in my 17 January 2008 grievance to KPMG (endorsed with a Statement of Truth), and in a summary version in my 3 April 2008 claim to the Employment Tribunal against KPMG).

At a meeting, on 30 March 2007, KPMG informed me that Mr Andrew Ladsky had approached KPMG claiming that my website (www.leasehold-outrage.com) "*contains anti-Semitic comments*".

I denied it saying that two weeks previously he had made the same false accusation to Kensington & Chelsea police and that the police had backed down when challenged by my website Host. I supplied the emails from the police as evidence. In spite of this, I was told that, "*to protect me and KPMG*", my access to the Internet would be immediately cut-off. Very trusting of KPMG and concerned that Mr Ladsky had brought his long-standing personal vendetta against me to KPMG, I did not challenge the rationale of the decision, nor asked for evidence of the accusation (it was not offered to me).

By the time I returned to my desk, my access to the Internet had been cut off. In the process, my access to the majority of the Intranet (internal) sites had likewise been cut-off. As my work required constant access to the Intranet sites, it made it practically impossible for me to work.

This was an extremely traumatic time. I kept highlighting my plight as the impact on me was devastating: the automated messages generated from trying to access the sites made me feel as though I was a criminal. I was in tears at my desk practically every day during that period i.e. throughout April 2007, at times sobbing uncontrollably, feeling extremely distraught. In the first week, starting 2 April 2007, I found it so difficult to cope with that practically every day, I ended-up leaving the office, taking time off as annual leave:

My perception of injustice was unbearable: I am NOT the criminal. I am the victim of crime. Yet, I saw myself as being punished and further victimised for 'daring' to stand-up and fight for my rights – this time by KPMG. And this was happening because of the 'say-so' of a party, to my knowledge, external to KPMG: Mr Ladsky, my 'attacker' of six-year standing, desperately trying to get my website closed down because it exposes in great detail – and with a massive amount of 'black on white evidence' in support – the scam he and his aides implemented to defraud residents. (In February 2007 Mr Andrew Ladsky also filed another fraudulent claim against me in court – which is still ongoing at the date of writing this letter).

See My Diary
6 May and 22
Nov 08

on 6 June
2008 he
ended-up
dropping
"ALL of the
claim"
against me

I felt the injustice even more acutely because KPMG had been aware of my personal situation since 2002 – and I had provided updates since then, including in 2007. (In 2002, Mr Ladsky had turned his harassment and intimidation tactics on me. Also, his managing agent had sent me an unjustified £14,500 service charge demand. The combination of these factors meant that I found it difficult to cope with very demanding client work (I was a project manager on merger and acquisition assignments). It had led to my being transferred to a non-client facing role "*until [my] situation was resolved*". As my situation has been ongoing since, I did not return to doing client work).

Very hurtful as well was the realisation that my website host who does not know me believes in me and backs me up, whereas KPMG that had known me for 10 years and was aware of the history was 'siding' with Mr Ladsky and, by implication, his aides, against me.

Not surprisingly, my feelings of extreme distress also manifested themselves outside of work: I was constantly close to tears, often unable to hold them back. [] compared what I was going through to "*a double bereavement*": perception of being let down by both, the system and KPMG. I had so totally believed in KPMG; in my eyes, it could do no wrong. I felt betrayed, abandoned, very sickened. The way I expressed the treatment at work was that it had "*shaken me to the bone*".

My access to the internal sites was only resumed on 25 April 2007, once I agreed to sign a letter permanently barring me from using the Internet. As my work required some Internet access, I was dependent on colleagues for information, making it a demeaning and humiliating experience.

It is clear that the ban imposed on me by KPMG was intended to 'make me pay' for 'daring' to stand-up and fight for my rights in relation to my personal problem as (in addition to other related events (*)), in August 2007, I was 'allowed' to access the Internet on the condition that I use a spare computer. The ban imposed on me was not computer dependent.

(*) (1) At the time it took action against me, KPMG did not communicate to me other accusations made against me by Mr Ladsky, thereby denying me the opportunity to defend myself against them; (2) KPMG subsequently attempted to deny me access to Mr Ladsky's communications about me to KPMG; (3) It knew that, had I tried, the configuration of the KPMG Internet system would not have allowed me to perform the actions contained in Mr Ladsky's accusations.

(Other events also took place, resulting in my leaving KPMG on 17 January 2008)

BOOTS THE CHEMISTS LTD.
60 KINGS ROAD
LONDON SW3 4UD
16 APR 2007

Lorazepam +
16/04/2007

BX17242

R

Ms

DR. A
73
LO

BOOTS THE CHEMISTS LTD 020 7589 3234 DISP CHKD
60 Kings Road, Chelsea, SW3 4WD

28 CITALOPRAM TABLETS 20MG.

am 20mg



Take ONE daily.

Each film-coated tablet contains 24.99mg Citalopram hydrobromide, equivalent to 20mg Citalopram. Also contains mannitol.

For oral use. To be taken as directed by a physician. Please read the enclosed leaflet carefully before use.

KEEP OUT OF REACH AND SIGHT OF CHILDREN.

Ms. Noelle Rawe
Keep out of childrens reach
5 060057 400647

16/04/2007

ALMUS
Almus Pharmaceuticals

PL Holder: Sandoz Ltd., Woolmer Way, Bordon, Hants, GU35 9QE
Almus is a registered trademark.

POM PL 04416/0420

BOOTS THE CHEMISTS LTD 020 7589 3234 DISP CHKD
60 Kings Road, Chelsea, SW3 4WD

40 LORAZEPAM TABLETS 1MG.

Take ONE when required.

Tablets for oral use.
Use as directed by the physician.
Please read the enclosed leaflet.
Do not store above 25°C.
Store in the original package.

Keep out of the reach and sight of children.

PL 17521/0060

POM

MA holder:
Metwest Pharmaceuticals Ltd.
15 Runnelfield, Harrow, HA1 3NY

Ms. Noelle Rawe 16/04/2007
Keep out of childrens reach

Warning: Causes drowsiness which may continue the next day. If affected do not drive or operate machinery. Avoid alcoholic drink.

28 Lorazepam 1 mg Tablets

28 Lorazepam 1 mg Tablets



Post Office Ltd.
Your Receipt

Knightsbridge B0
6 Raphael Street

London
Greater London
SW7 1DL

Date and Time:	12/04/2008 11:35
Session Prefix:	7-2104669
Dest:	UK (E.U.)
Quantity:	1
Weight:	0.050 kg

Special D by 1	£4.60
----------------	-------

Total Cost of Services	£4.60
------------------------	-------

Posted after Last Collection?	No
-------------------------------	----

Guaranteed Delivery Date:	14/04/2008
---------------------------	------------

Barcode:	ZU8116973776B
----------	---------------

DESTINATION ADDRESS	
Building Name or Number	Postcode
Doctors Surgery	
Address Validated?	Y

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU RETAIN THIS
RECEIPT AS IT IS YOUR PROOF OF POSTING

PLEASE REFER TO SEPARATE TERMS AND
CONDITIONS


Special Delivery is an express next
day service for the UK, offering a
money back guarantee for delay and
compensation for loss and damage to
your item. Check delivery at
www.postoffice.co.uk or call
08459 272100 quoting your ref number.

This is not a VAT receipt
Thank You

14 April 2008 delivery of letter to Dr Anthony G

http://track.royalmail.com/portal/rm/trackresults?catId=22700601&pageId=trt_rmresultspage&keyname=3A_track&requestid=637

Personal | Business

 Search

Whichever it's personal®

Delivery Services **Marketing Services** **Logistics Services** **Discounts & Payment**

Home > Track and trace >

Track & Trace

Please enter your [13 digit reference number](#)
e.g. AA 0001 0001 9GB

Track item

[How to find your reference number](#)

Delivered

Your item with reference ZV811697377GB was delivered from our SOUTH WEST LONDON Delivery Office on 14/04/08 .

Thank you for using this service.

We can confirm that this item was delivered before the guaranteed time.

The electronic Proof of Delivery may not be available for this item yet. Please allow up to 72 hours