

Miss Noelle Rawe

24 November 2003

Our ref: LM.ch.R360/1

Ext. 229

Also by email – [noelle.rawe](mailto:noelle.rawe)

Your ref:

Dear Noelle,

**Steel Services Limited –v- Yourself**

Thank you for your fax letter of 23<sup>rd</sup> November.

So she said. How 'very convenient' for her to off the case in the remaining days of the deadline to Ladsky's "offer" - leading Richard Twyman to take over - and send Gallagher's draft reply WITHOUT MY CONSENT (his 14 Nov 03 email) WHAT A 'MAFIA'!

I apologise for the confusion in relation to the reply having been sent to CKFT. In relation to the conversation that I had with Mr Gallagher regarding the interest as I had just returned from holiday and looked through the file I noted that there was your hand written note on the draft order in respect of the interest point and it was on that basis that I telephoned Mr Gallagher and he gave me the information as set out in my letter of 18<sup>th</sup> November.

You will appreciate that I was not here between the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> November inclusive and have had no conversation with Mr Gallagher in relation to Mr Brock's key conclusion referred to in the third paragraph of that letter.

In relation to the CKFT letter of 19<sup>th</sup> November you will see from the draft order that the sum of £6513.24 is to be paid within 28 days. What CKFT are asking is that the 28 days starts to run from the time the consent order is signed and sent back to them as opposed to the time that the court actually seal the order. They go on to say that if the court has not approved the order by the time the payment is received they will hold the monies on their client account (as opposed to passing the money over to Steel Services) until the court has sealed the order.

I can confirm that the consent order as it currently stands has no confidentiality restrictions which is clear from the face of the order.

Perhaps you can now confirm that the consent order may be signed, if that is your instructions, and you can arrange to let me have the appropriate cheque in due course.

Evidence from herself And I DID NOT Agree to the Reply sent by Richard Twyman to CKFT on 13 Nov 2003

I replied on 26 Nov 03 that I was NOT endorsing the consent order as it stands, NOR the notice of acceptance

On 2 Dec 03 I sent a complaint to Piper Smith Basham against Lisa McLean and Richard Twyman

Yours sincerely

**LISA MCLEAN**

e-mail: [-lisa.mclean@pipersmith.co.uk](mailto:-lisa.mclean@pipersmith.co.uk)

Encs.