

F A X

Date: 19 July 2004

TO	Mr Zaidi
Organisation	Wandsworth County Court (76/78 Upper Richmond Road, Putney, London SW15 2SU)
Fax	020 8877 9854
From	Ms Noëlle Klosterkotter-Dit-Rawé
Subject	Ref: WL203 537 – Steel Services Limited (I am the 2nd Defendant) Copy of Consent Order + ‘General Form of Judgment or Order’ dated 1 July 2004 and 2 July 2004 - sent to me by your Court
Number of page	5 pages (#1. covering fax; #2. General Form of Judgment or Order’; # 3 & 4 - page 1 and 2 of Consent Order; #5 – General Form of judgment or order from WLCC, dated 28 May 2004)

Dear Mr Zaidi

Thank you for your call of c. 1 hour ago in response to my letter to your Court dated 8 July 2004 in which I asked for an explanation of the ‘Notice of Transfer of Proceedings’ dated 9 June 2004 which states that my case has been transferred from West London County Court to your Court *“for listing for trial before Circuit Judge”*

You initially confirmed to me that a trial would be taking place on 17 August and you advised me to attend as I was the Defendant in the case. When I asked you for the reason – and pointed out to you (as I have done in my previous correspondence to your Court and to West London County Court) that a Consent Order had been agreed – and signed by both, the Claimant and myself - and that your own Court had in fact sent it to me attaching a ‘General Form of Judgment or Order’ dated 1st, as well as 2nd July, you said that you would get back to me within 30 minutes.

You did. And in this conversation I read out to you the content of the ‘General Form of Judgment or Order’ dated 1st, as well as 2nd July sent by your Court. You confirmed that you had this document. However, you said that you did not have a copy of the Consent Order and, as you could not find it, asked me to fax you a copy. **I am doing this by attaching the 2 pages of the Consent Order which your Court returned to me. I am also attaching the ‘General Form of Judgment or Order’ that had been enclosed with the Order.**

As agreed, please confirm in writing whether or not the 17 August trial concerns me. If so, please provide directions as none were supplied in the 9 June 2004 ‘Notice of Transfer of Proceedings’ – and those listed in West London County Court ‘General Form of Judgment or Order’ dated 28 May 2004 – under points 1, 2, 3 and 5 appear to only relate to Defendant #5. (see attached)

Yours sincerely

N Klosterkotter-Dit-Rawé

MEMORY TRANSMISSION REPORT

PAGE : 001
 TIME : 19-07-04 02:38PM
 TEL NUMBER: 020 [REDACTED]
 NAME : [REDACTED]

FILE NUMBER : 440
 DATE : 19-07 02:35PM
 TO : ☎902088779854
 DOCUMENT PAGES : 005
 START TIME : 19-07 02:36PM
 END TIME : 19-07 02:37PM
 SENT PAGES : 005
 STATUS : OK

FILE NUMBER : 440 *** SUCCESSFUL TX NOTICE ***

F A X

Date: 19 July 2004

TO	Mr Zaidi
Organisation	Wandsworth County Court (78/78 Upper Richmond Road, Putney, London SW15 2SU)
Fix	020 8277 8854
From	Ms Noelle Klosterkötter-Dit-Rawe
Subject	Ref: WL363 837 - Steel Services Limited (I am the 2 nd Defendant) Copy of Consent Order + 'General Form of Judgment or Order' dated 1 July 2004 and 2 July 2004 - sent to me by your Court
Number of page	5 pages (#1 - covering fax; #2 - General Form of Judgment or Order; # 3 & 4 - page 1 and 2 of Consent Order; #5 - General Form of Judgment or order from WLCC, dated 28 May 2004)

Dear Mr Zaidi


I thank you for your call of c. 1 hour ago in response to my letter to your Court dated 8 July 2004 in which I asked for an explanation of the 'Notice of Transfer of Proceedings' dated 8 June 2004 which states that my case has been transferred from West London County Court to your Court "for listing for trial before Circuit Judge"

You initially confirmed to me that a trial would be taking place on 17 August and you advised me to attend as I was the Defendant in the case. When I asked you for the reason - and pointed out to you (as I have done in my previous correspondence to your Court and to West London County Court) that a Consent Order had been agreed - and signed by both, the Claimant and myself - and that your own Court had in fact sent it to me attaching a 'General Form of Judgment or Order' dated 1st, as well as 2nd July, you said that you would get back to me within 30 minutes.

You did. And in this conversation I read out to you the content of the 'General Form of Judgment or Order' dated 1st, as well as 2nd July sent by your Court. You confirmed that you had this document. However, you said that you did not have a copy of the Consent Order and, as you could not find it, asked me to fax you a copy. I am doing this by attaching the 2 pages of the Consent Order which your Court returned to me. I am also attaching the 'General Form of Judgment or Order' that had been enclosed with the Order.

As agreed, please confirm in writing whether or not the 17 August trial concerns me. If so, please provide directions as none were supplied in the 8 June 2004 'Notice of Transfer of Proceedings' - and those listed in West London County Court 'General Form of Judgment or Order' dated 28 May 2004 - under points 1, 2, 3 and 5 appear to only relate to Defendant #5. (see attached)

Yours sincerely,



N. Klosterkötter-Dit-Rawe

General Form of Judgment or Order

To the Defendant

Noel Yvonne Sylvie Klosterkotter-Dit-Rawe Flat 3 Jefferson House 11 Basil Street London SW3 1AX

In the WANDSWORTH County Court	
Claim Number	WL203537
Claimant (including ref.)	Steel Services Ltd(Regd In British Virgin Islands) RLS/BDF/LAD/8
Defendant (including ref.)	Noel Yvonne Sylvie Klosterkotter-Dit-Rawe + 8 others
Date	02 July 2004

Before **DISTRICT JUDGE ASHWORTH** sitting at Wandsworth County Court, 76/78 Upper Richmond Road, Putney, London, SW15 2SU.

Upon

IT IS ORDERED THAT

Please see attached

Dated 01 July 2004



IN THE WEST LONDON COUNTY COURT

BETWEEN:-

STEEL SERVICES LIMITED

Claimant

-and-

NOËLLE YVONNE SYLVIE KLOSTERKOTTER-DIT-RAWÉ

Second Defendant

Draft CONSENT ORDER


UPON terms of settlement having been agreed between the parties,

BY CONSENT it is ORDERED that:-


1. The Claimant having received the sum of £6,350.85 from the Second Defendant, this action has been settled following the determination by the Leasehold Valuation Tribunal of an identical claim, in a report dated 17 June 2003.
2. There is no order as to costs.

Dated this 24 day of MAY 2004

We, the solicitors for the above-named Claimant, and the Defendant acting in person do hereby consent to an Order being made in the above terms.



CKFT
25/26 Hampstead High Street
London NW3 1QA
Ref: AS/17/LAD8/4
Solicitors for the Claimant



Noëlle Yvonne Sylvie Klosterkotter-Dit-Rawé
3 Jefferson House
11 Basil Street
London SW3 1AX
Second Defendant

Claim No: WL203537

IN THE WEST LONDON COUNTY COURT

BETWEEN:-

STEEL SERVICES LIMITED

Claimant

-and-

**NOËLLE YVONNE SYLVIE
KLOSTERKOTTER-DIT-RAWÉ**

Defendant

Draft CONSENT ORDER

**CKFT
25/26 Hampstead High Street
London
NW3 1QA
DX 57567 HAMPSTEAD**

**Tel: 020 7431 7262
Fax: 020 7317 8750**

Ref: AS/17/LAD8/4

Solicitors for the Claimant

General form of judgment or order

Claimant

NOTE
Crossed out for privacy reasons as this is the name of the first defendant listed on the original claim

In the WEST LONDON County Court	
Case No. <small>Always print this</small>	W1.203537
Claimant	Steel Services Ltd
Defendant	 and others
Plaintiff's ref.	
Defendant's ref.	



Before District Judge Madge sitting in private at 43, North End Road, London W14 8SZ.

UPON hearing a solicitor for the claimant and the defendant not attending

It is ordered that

1. The fifth defendant do send to the claimant's solicitors copies of the items requested at (3) and (4) in its List of Documents by 4 p.m. on 11 June 2004.
2. There be mutual simultaneous exchange of witness statements by no later than 4 p.m. on 9 July 2004. No witness whose statement has not been so exchanged shall give evidence without the permission of the Court. In the event that either party is unable to serve witness statements within that period, the other party be at liberty to serve witness statements unilaterally and the defaulting party be debarred from calling any evidence at trial.
3. As between the claimant and fifth defendant costs in case.
4. Terms having been agreed, the claim against the second defendant be stayed.
5. The claimant's claim against the fifth defendant be listed for hearing before the Circuit Judge between 1 August and 31 August 2004 with a time estimate of one day, there being no dates to avoid.

Date Order Made: 28 May 2004

Defendant

The court office at 43, North End Road, London W14 8SQ is open between 10 am and 4 pm Monday to Friday. When corresponding with the court, please address forms or letters to the Court Manager and quote the case number. Tel: 0207 602 8444
Order drawn and handed to those parties attending by District Judge Madge